UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS	
AND INTERFERENCES	MAILED
	DEC 1 4 2007
Ex parte: NEIL COOPER	U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES
Application No. 09/904,989	
ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXA	MINER

This application was received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on December 6, 2007. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matter requiring attention prior to docketing is identified below.

EXAMINER'S ANSWER

New Grounds of Rejection

On June 21, 2007, an Examiner's Answer was mailed. The Examiner's Answer includes a new ground of rejection, where claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Bondy et al. (U.S. 5,491,813) in view of Keller et al. (U.S. 5,752,032) and Schoening et al. (U.S. 6,226,788) further in view of Shirakabe et al. (U.S. 5,136,709). A review of the application reveals that the Final Rejection mailed June 5, 2006, rejected claim 16 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Bondy et al. (U.S. 5,491,813) in view of Keller Application No. 09/904,989

et al. (U.S. 5,752,032) further in view of Shirakabe et al. (U.S. 5,136,709).

When a new ground of rejection is introduced in the Examiner's Answer, the Examiner is

required to obtain approval of the Technology Center Director or his/her designee. Further, any

new ground of rejection is required to be prominently identified, e.g., a separate heading with all

capitalized letters. See MPEP § 1207.02(A)(6)(d).

To correct this problem, the examiner will need to vacate the Examiner's Answer mailed

June 21, 2007, and mail a Supplemental Examiner's Answer with the approval of the Technology

Center Director or designee.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner to:

1) issue and mail a revised Examiner's Answer properly identifying any new grounds of

rejection; and

2) for such further action as may be appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS

AND INTERFERENCES

Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator

(571) 272-9797

2

Application No. 09/904,989

PJN/tsj

cc: LARSON NEWMAN ABEL POLANSKY & WHITE, LLP 5914 WEST COURTYARD DRIVE SUITE 200 AUSTIN, TX 78730